## Paper 4: Further Statistics 2 Mark Scheme

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AOs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) | $\mathrm{P}(A>3)=\frac{2}{5}$ | B1 | 1.1b |
|  | $\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^{3}=\frac{8}{125}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \mathrm{a} \\ & 1.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | (3) |  |
| (b) | $\mathrm{f}(y)=\frac{3 y^{2}}{125}$ | M1 | 2.1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}(Y)=\int_{0}^{53 y^{3}} \frac{125}{} \mathrm{~d} y & \\ & =\left[\frac{3 y^{4}}{500}\right]_{0}^{5} \quad\left[=\frac{15}{4}\right] \end{aligned}$ | M1 | 1.1b |
|  | $\operatorname{Var}(Y)=\int_{0}^{5}\left(\frac{3 y^{4}}{125}\right) \mathrm{d} y-\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2}$ | M1 | 1.1b |
|  | $=0.9375 *$ | A1*cso | 1.1b |
|  |  | (4) |  |
| (c) | Mode $=5$ | B1 | 1.2 |
|  |  | B1 | 2.4 |
|  |  | (2) |  |
| (d) | From a sketch or mode > mean therefore it has negative skew | B1ft | 2.4 |
|  |  | (1) |  |
| (e) | $\frac{(2 k)^{3}}{125}-\frac{k^{3}}{125}=0.189$ | M1 | 3.1a |
|  | $\frac{7 k^{3}}{125}=0.189$ | A1 | 1.1b |
|  | $k=1.5$ | A1 | 1.1b |
|  |  | (3) |  |

## Question 1 Notes:

(a)

B1: $\frac{2}{5}$ o.e. may be implied by a correct answer
M1: $\quad\left(\text { "their }\left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \text { " }\right)^{3}$ may be implied by a correct answer
A1: $\quad \frac{8}{125}$ o.e.
(b)

M1: Realising that firstly need to find $\operatorname{pdf} \mathrm{f}(y)$ and attempt to differentiate $\mathrm{F}(y)$
M1: Continuing the argument with an attempt to integrate $y \times$ "their $\mathrm{f}(y)$ " $y^{n} \rightarrow y^{n+1}$

M1: Integrating $y^{2} \times$ "their $\mathrm{f}(y) "$ - ["their $\left.\mathrm{E}(Y) "\right]^{2} \quad y^{n} \rightarrow y^{n+1}$
A1*: Complete correct solution no errors
(c)

B1: 5 only
B1: Explain their reason by either an accurate sketch or $\frac{\mathrm{df}(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}>0$ therefore an increasing function o.e.
(d)

B1ft: Explaining the reason for their answer. Follow through their part(b) or mean from(d) and mode from(c). A correct sketch of "their $\mathrm{f}(y)$ " - may be seen anywhere in question or ft their mean and mode plus a correct conclusion
NB: Watch for gaming. A student who writes both negative skew with a reason and positive skew with a reason. Please send these to your Team Leader
(e)

M1: Attempting to translate the problem into an equation using $2 k$ and $k$. Allow if the brackets are missing e.g. $\frac{2 k^{3}}{125}-\frac{k^{3}}{125}$. No need for the 0.189

A1: A correct equation in any form
A1: A correct answer only

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AOs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \rho=0, \mathrm{H}_{1}: \rho>0$ | B1 | 2.5 |
|  | Critical value at $1 \%$ level is 0.8929 | B1 | 1.1b |
|  | $r_{s}<0.8929$ so not significant evidence to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | M1 | 2.1 |
|  | The researcher's claim is not correct (at $1 \%$ level) or insufficient evidence for researcher's claim or there is insufficient evidence that water gets deeper further from inner bank <br> or no (positive) correlation between depth of water and distance from inner bank | A1ft | 2.2b |
|  |  | (4) |  |
| (b)(i) | The ranks will remain the same therefore there will be no change to the spearman's rank correlation coefficient | B1 | 2.4 |
| (ii) | Spearman's rank correlation coefficient will increase since | B1 | 2.2a |
|  | The ranks are the same for both distance and depth therefore $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{0}$ however, $\boldsymbol{n}$ has increased or the new position follows the pattern that large $b$ is associated with large $s$ and so $r_{s}$ will increase | B1 | 2.4 |
|  |  | (3) |  |
| (c) | The mean of the tied ranks is given to each... | B1 | 2.4 |
|  | ... then use PMCC | B1 | 2.4 |
|  |  | (2) |  |
| (9 marks) |  |  |  |

## Notes:

(a)

B1: Both hypotheses correct written using the notation $\rho$
B1: awrt 0.893
M1: Drawing a correct inference using their answer to part(a) and their CV
A1ft: Drawing a correct inference in context using their answer to part(a) and their CV
(b)(i)

B1: Stating no change and an explanation including ranks remain unchanged o.e. and no change o.e.
(b)(ii)

B1: Interpreted the outcome of adding a point as increased oe
B1: Explaining why. Need to mention the ranks are the same for both oe and $\boldsymbol{n}$ has increased oe
(c)

B1: Explaining that the mean of the values for the tied ranks is given to both values
B1: Explaining that the PMCC must be used

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AOs |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | $95 \%$ CI for $\mu$ uses $t$ value of $\mathbf{2 . 0 6 4}$ | B1 | 3.3 |
|  | $\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{25}} \times 2.064 "=\frac{1}{2}(2.232-1.128) \quad$ or |  |  |


| Question | Scheme | Marks | AOs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \sigma_{G}^{2}=\sigma_{B}^{2}, \mathrm{H}_{1}: \sigma_{G}^{2} \neq \sigma_{B}^{2}$, | B1 | 2.5 |
|  | $s_{B}^{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(56130-7 \times 88.9^{2}\right)=\frac{807.53}{6}=134.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 1.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $s_{G}^{2}=\frac{1}{7}\left(55746-8 \times 83.1^{2}\right)=\frac{501.12}{7}=71.58$ | A1 | 1.1b |
|  | $\frac{s_{B}^{2}}{s_{G}^{2}}=1.880 \ldots$ | M1 | 3.4 |
|  | Critical value $F_{6,7}=3.87$ | B1 | 1.1b |
|  | Not significant, variances can be treated as the same | A1 ft | 2.2b |
|  |  | (7) |  |
| (b) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{B}=\mu_{G}, \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu_{B}>\mu_{G}$ | B1 | 2.5 |
|  | Pooled estimate of variance $s^{2}=\frac{6 \times 1346+7 \times 71.58}{13}=100.6653 \ldots$ | M1 | 3.1b |
|  | Test statistic $t=\frac{88.9-83.1}{s \sqrt{\frac{1}{7}+\frac{1}{8}}}=$ awrt 1.12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 1.1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Critical value $t_{13}(5 \%)=1.771$ | B1 | 1.1b |
|  | Insufficient evidence to support mother's claim | A1 ft | 2.2b |
|  |  | (6) |  |
| (13 marks) |  |  |  |

## Notes:

(a)

B1: Both hypotheses correct using the notation $\sigma^{2}$. Allow ${ }_{\sigma}$ rather than $\sigma^{2}$
M1: Using a correct Method for either $S_{B}^{2}$ or $s_{G}^{2}$ May be implied by a correct value
A1: awrt 135
A1: awrt 71.6
M1: Using the F-distribution as the model e.g. $\frac{s_{B}^{2}}{s_{G}^{2}}$
B1: awrt 3.87
A1ft: Drawing a correct inference following through their CV and value for $\frac{s_{B}^{2}}{s_{G}^{2}}$
(b)

B1: Both hypotheses correct using the notation $\mu$
M1: For realising the need to find the pooled estimate for the test require from a correct interpretation of the question
M1: Correct method for test statistic $t=\frac{88.9-83.1}{\text { "their } s " \sqrt{\frac{1}{7}+\frac{1}{8}}}$ May be implied by a correct awrt 1.12
A1: awrt 1.12
B1: awrt 1.77
A1ft: Drawing a correct inference following through their CV and test statistic

| Question | Scheme |  | Marks | AOs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a) | Let $X=L-4 S$ then $\mathrm{E}(X)=19.6-4 \times 4.8$ |  | M1 | 2.3 |
|  | $=0.4$ |  | A1 | 1.1b |
|  | $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\operatorname{Var}(L)+4^{2} \operatorname{Var}(S)=0.6^{2}+16 \times 0.3^{2}$ |  | M1 | 2.1 |
|  | $=1.8$ |  | A1 | 1.1b |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(X>0)=\left[\mathrm{P}\left(Z>\frac{0-0.4}{\sqrt{1.8}}=-0.298 \ldots \ldots\right)\right]$ |  | M1 | 2.1 |
|  | $=0.617202 \ldots$ | awrt 0.617 | A1 | 1.1b |
|  |  |  | (6) |  |
| (b) | $T=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4} \quad$ (May be implied by 0.36$)$ |  | M1 | 3.3 |
|  | $T \sim \mathrm{~N}(19.2,0.36) \quad \mathrm{E}(T)=19.2$ |  | B1 | 1.1b |
|  | $\operatorname{Var}(T)=0.36$ or $0.6^{2}$ |  | A1 | 1.1b |
|  |  |  | (3) |  |
| (c) | Let $Y=L-T \quad \mathrm{E}(Y)=\mathrm{E}(L)-\mathrm{E}(T)=[0.4]$ |  | M1 | 3.3 |
|  | $\operatorname{Var}(Y)=\operatorname{Var}(L)+\operatorname{Var}(T)=[0.72]$ |  | M1 | 1.1b |
|  | Require $\mathrm{P}(-0.2<Y<0.2)$ |  | M1 | 3.1a |
|  | $=0.16708 \ldots$ | awrt 0.167 | A1 | 1.1b |
|  |  |  | (4) |  |
| (13 marks) |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

(a)

M1: Selecting and using an appropriate model i.e. $\pm(L-4 S)$. May be implied by 0.4
A1: $\quad 0.4$ oe
M1: For realising the need to use $\operatorname{Var}(L)+4^{2} \operatorname{Var}(S)$. Allow use of 0.6 for $\operatorname{Var}(L)$ instead of $0.6^{2}$ and/or 0.3 for $\operatorname{Var}(S)$ instead of $0.3^{2}$ may be implied by 1.8
A1: $\quad 1.8$ only
M1: For realising $\mathrm{P}(X>0)$ is required and an attempt to find it e.g. $\frac{0-0.4}{\sqrt{\text { "their } \operatorname{Var}(X) "}}$ but do not allow a negative $\operatorname{Var}(X)$
A1: awrt 0.617
(b)

M1: Selecting and using an appropriate model ie $S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}$ : may be implied by 0.36
B1: 19.2 only
A1: 0.36

## (c)

M1: $\quad$ Setting up and using the model $Y=L-T$. May be implied by $E(Y)=E(L)-E(T)$
M1: $\quad$ Using $\operatorname{Var}(Y)=\operatorname{Var}(L)+\operatorname{Var}(T)$
M1: Dealing with the modulus and realising they need to find $\mathrm{P}(-0.2<Y<0.2)$
A1: awrt 0.167

(12 marks)

## Notes:

6(a)
M1: Realising the need to use $b=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{x m}}{\mathrm{~S}_{x x}}$ and $a=\bar{m}-b \bar{x}$
A1: $\quad m=$ awrt 1.51) $-(\operatorname{awrt} 0.0278) x$. Award M1A1 for correct equation
(b)

M1: Using $S_{m m}-\frac{\left(S_{x m}\right)^{2}}{S_{x x}}$
A1*: awrt 0.064
(c)

M1: Using the model in part (a) i.e. $m-(" 1.5139 "$ - " $0.02775 " x$ ) implied by a correct value
A1: All correct.
Award M1A1 for a list of correct residuals
(d)

B1: Inferring from the residuals that the outlier is $(5,1.2) \mathrm{ft}$ their residuals.
(e)(i)

B1: Explaining why the outlier should be removed or not.
(ii)

M1: Removing the outlier and refining the model by finding a new regression line.
A1: $\quad m=($ awrt 1.62$)-($ awrt 0.0377$) x$
(iii)

B1ft: using their model in e (i) with $x=15$. awrt 1.06 or ft their e(ii)

## (iv)

B1: Realising the limitations of the model by stating it is not reliable and giving the reason why i.e. extrapolation/out of range o.e.

| Question | Scheme | Marks | AOs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a) | $S_{x x}=\sum(10 s)^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum 10 s\right)^{2}}{10}$ | M1 | 2.1 |
|  | $2658.9=100 \sum(s)^{2}-\frac{100\left(\sum s\right)^{2}}{10}$ | M1 | 1.1b |
|  | $2658.9=100 S_{\text {ss }}$ |  |  |
|  | $S_{s s}=26.589$ * | A1*cso | 1.1b |
|  |  | (3) |  |
| (b) | $64=\sum_{1}^{10} 10\left(d_{i}-9\right)$ | M1 | 3.1a |
|  | $64=10 \sum_{1}^{10} d_{i}-900$ |  |  |
|  | $\sum_{1}^{10} d_{i}=96.4$ | A1 | 1.1b |
|  | $S_{d d}=1081.74-\frac{(" 96.4 ")^{2}}{10}$ | M1 | 1.1b |
|  | $=152.444$ |  |  |
|  | $r=0.935$ | A1ft | 1.1b |
|  |  | (4) |  |
| (c) | Linear correlation is significant but scatter diagram suggests a nonlinear relationship between the level of serum magnesium, and the level of the disease protein | B1 | 3.5a |
|  |  | (1) |  |
| (8 marks) |  |  |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |
| (a) |  |  |  |
| M1: Attempting to use $S_{x x}=\sum x^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum x\right)^{2}}{10}$ with $x=10 s$ |  |  |  |
| (b) |  |  |  |
| M1: Realising that either $64=\sum_{1}^{10} 10\left(d_{i}-9\right)$ or $64=10 \sum_{1}^{10} d_{i}-900$ o.e. must be used. May be implied by seeing 96.4 |  |  |  |
| M1: Attempting to use $S_{d d}=\sum d^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum d\right)^{2}}{10}$ may be implied by 0.935 A1ft: awrt 0.935 ft "their 96.4 " |  |  |  |
| (c) <br> B1: A correct comment comparing their value of $r$ and the scatter diagram in context |  |  |  |

